arXiv:1112.0098v2 [math.OA] 22 Jul 2012

The fast track to Löwner's theorem

Frank Hansen

December 1st 2011

Abstract

The theory of operator monotone functions began with Löwner's seminal work in 1934 in which a detailed analysis of matrix monotone functions of a fixed order is given. Löwner furthermore proved that a function defined in any type of open interval is operator monotone if and only if it allows an analytic continuation to a Pick function.

There are several integral formulas for Pick functions, and it is these concrete representations rather than Löwner's theorem itself that are used in most applications. The operator monotone functions defined in the positive half-axis are of particular importance, and we give a version of the theory where these formulas can be established directly without using the theory of Pick functions.

We found a canonical relation between positive and arbitrary operator monotone functions defined in the positive half-axis, and this result effectively reduces the theory to the case of positive functions.

Key words and phrases: operator monotone function, integral representation, Löwner's theorem.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

The functional calculus is defined by the spectral theorem. Since we only deal with matrices the function $f(A)$ of a hermitian matrix A is defined for any function f defined on the spectrum of A.

Definition 1.1. *Let I be an interval of any type. A function $f\colon I \to \mathbf{R}$ is said to be n-matrix monotone (or just n-monotone) if*

$$A \le B \quad \Rightarrow \quad f(A) \le f(B)$$

for every pair of $n \times n$ hermitian matrices A and B with spectra in I.

Definition 1.2. *Let I be an interval of any type. A function $f \colon I \to \mathbf{R}$ is said to be n-matrix convex (or just n-convex) if*

$$f(\lambda A + (1-\lambda)B) \le \lambda f(A) + (1-\lambda) f(B)$$

for every $\lambda \in [0,1]$ and every pair of $n \times n$ hermitian matrices A and B with spectra in I.

Note that the spectrum of the matrix $\lambda A + (1-\lambda)B$ in the definition automatically is contained in I. The functional calculus on the left-hand side is therefore well-defined.

It follows that a point-wise limit of n-monotone (n-convex) functions is n-monotone (n-convex).

Definition 1.3. *A function $f \colon I \to \mathbf{R}$ defined in an interval I is said to be operator monotone (operator convex) if it is n-monotone (n-convex) for all natural numbers n.*

It follows that a point-wise limit of operator monotone (operator convex) functions is operator monotone (operator convex).

The paper is organized as follows. We first establish the connection between matrix monotonicity and matrix concavity. We then list the results that are used but not covered in this exposition. The main proof makes use of convexity theory and Krein-Milman's theorem and is much influenced by the joint paper [5] of Pedersen and the author. The difference is that we in the present exposition work directly on functions defined in the positive half-axis and not, as in the previous paper, on functions defined in the open interval $(-1,1)$. This may not appear to be an important difference, but it actually simplifies the theory in a number of ways; the most obvious is that we no longer need to appeal to the theory of analytic functions to obtain integral expressions for operator monotone functions defined in the positive half-axis.

We discovered (Theorem 4.4) that an arbitrary operator monotone function defined in the positive half-axis in a canonical way can be expressed in terms of a normalized positive operator monotone function. This observation may be of interest in itself, and it does simplify the general theory considerably.

2 Matrix monotonicity and matrix concavity

There is a striking connection between matrix monotonicity and matrix concavity for functions defined in an interval extending to plus infinity.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f:(0,\infty)\to\mathbf{R}$ be a $2n$-monotone function where $n\ge 1$. Then f is matrix concave of order n. In particular, f is continuous.*

Proof. Let x_1, x_2 be positive definite matrices of order n and take $s\in[0,1]$. We consider the unitary block matrix V of order $2n\times 2n$ given by

$$V=\begin{pmatrix} s^{1/2} & -(1-s)^{1/2} \\ (1-s)^{1/2} & s^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and obtain by an elementary calculation that

$$V^*\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}V=\begin{pmatrix} sx_1+(1-s)x_2 & s^{1/2}(1-s)^{1/2}(x_2-x_1) \\ s^{1/2}(1-s)^{1/2}(x_2-x_1) & (1-s)x_1+sx_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We set $d=-s^{1/2}(1-s)^{1/2}(x_2-x_1)$ and notice that to a given $\varepsilon>0$ the difference

$$\begin{aligned}&\begin{pmatrix} sx_1+(1-s)x_2+\varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 2\lambda \end{pmatrix}-V^*\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}V\\ &\ge\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & d \\ d & \lambda \end{pmatrix}\qquad\text{for}\quad \lambda\ge(1-s)x_1+sx_2.\end{aligned}$$

Since the last block matrix is positive semi-definite for $\lambda\ge\varepsilon^{-1}\|d\|^2$ we realize that

$$V^*\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}V\le\begin{pmatrix} sx_1+(1-s)x_2+\varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 2\lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

for a sufficiently large $\lambda>0$. Since f is $2n$-monotone we then obtain

$$f\left(V^*\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}V\right)\le\begin{pmatrix} f\left(sx_1+(1-s)x_2+\varepsilon\right) & 0 \\ 0 & f(2\lambda) \end{pmatrix}$$

for such λ, and since

$$\begin{aligned}f\left(V^*\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}V\right)&=V^*\begin{pmatrix} f(x_1) & 0 \\ 0 & f(x_2) \end{pmatrix}V\\ &=\begin{pmatrix} sf(x_1)+(1-s)f(x_2) & s^{1/2}(1-s)^{1/2}(f(x_2)-f(x_1)) \\ s^{1/2}(1-s)^{1/2}(f(x_2)-f(x_1)) & (1-s)f(x_1)+sf(x_2) \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

we realize that

$$(1) \qquad sf(x_1)+(1-s)f(x_2)\le f\left(sx_1+(1-s)x_2+\varepsilon\right).$$

Since f is monotone the right limit f^+ defined by setting

$$f^+(t)=\lim_{\varepsilon\searrow 0} f(t+\varepsilon)\qquad t>0$$

is well-defined. For positive numbers $t_1,t_2>0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} sf^+(t_1)+(1-s)f^+(t_2) &\le sf(t_1+\varepsilon)+(1-s)f(t_2+\varepsilon)\\ &\le f\left(st_1+(1-s)t_2+2\varepsilon\right), \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from the definition of the right limit and the second follows from inequality (1) by setting $x_1=t_1+\varepsilon$ and $x_2=t_2+\varepsilon$. By letting ε tend to zero we then obtain

$$sf^+(t_1)+(1-s)f^+(t_2)\le f^+\left(st_1+(1-s)t_2\right),$$

therefore f^+ is concave and thus continuous. Since f is monotone increasing we have

$$f^+(t-\varepsilon)\le f(t)\le f^+(t)\qquad t>0,\ 0<\varepsilon<t,$$

and since f^+ is continuous we obtain $f=f^+$ by letting ε tend to zero. Finally, since we established that f is continuous, we may let ε tend to zero in inequality (1) to obtain

$$sf(x_1)+(1-s)f(x_2)\le f\left(sx_1+(1-s)x_2\right),$$

showing that f is n-concave. **QED**

The above theorem, with the added condition that f is continuous, was proved by Mathias [8]. That a $4n$-monotone function defined in the positive half-line is n-concave already follows from [5, proofs of 2.5. Theorem and 2.1. Theorem]. The idea of the above proof is taken from [4].

Corollary 2.2. *An operator monotone function $f:(0,\infty)\to\mathbf{R}$ is automatically operator concave.*

It is essential for the above result that the function is defined in an interval stretching out to infinity. Without this assumption there are easy counter examples.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $f : (0,\infty) \to \mathbf{R}$ be a non-negative function which is n-concave for some $n \geq 1$. Then f is also n-monotone.*

Proof. Let x and y be positive definite $n \times n$ matrices with $x < y$ and take λ in the open interval $(0, 1)$. We may write

$$\lambda y = \lambda x + (1-\lambda)\big(\lambda(1-\lambda)^{-1}(y-x)\big)$$

as a convex combination of two positive definite matrices. Since f is n-concave we thus obtain

$$f(\lambda y) \geq \lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda) f(\lambda(1-\lambda)^{-1}(y-x)) \geq \lambda f(x),$$

where we used that f is non-negative. Since f is continuous we obtain $f(x) \leq f(y)$ be letting $\lambda \to 1$. In the general case, where just $x \leq y$, we have

$$\mu x < x \leq y \quad \text{for } 0 < \mu < 1,$$

since x is positive definite, and then obtain $f(\mu x) \leq f(y)$. The assertion now follows by letting $\mu \to 1$. **QED**

The above proof is taken from [5, 2.5. Theorem].

Corollary 2.4. *A function mapping the positive half-axis into itself is operator monotone if and only if it is operator concave.*

2.1 Regularization

The following regularization procedure is standard, cf. for example [3, Page 11]. Let φ be a positive and even C^∞-function defined in the real axis, vanishing outside the closed interval $[-1, 1]$ and normalized such that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \varphi(x)\, dx = 1.$$

For any locally integrable function f defined in an open interval (a, b), where possibly $b = \infty$, we form, for small $\varepsilon > 0$, its regularization,

$$f_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_a^b \varphi\left(\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon}\right) f(s)\, ds \qquad t \in (a+\varepsilon, b-\varepsilon),$$

and realize that it is infinitely many times differentiable. We may also write

$$f_\varepsilon(t)=\int_{-1}^{1}\varphi(s)f(t-\varepsilon s)\,ds\qquad t\in(a+\varepsilon,b-\varepsilon).$$

If f is continuous, then f_ϵ is eventually well-defined and converges uniformly towards f on any compact subinterval of (a,b). In particular, for each $t>0$, the net $f_\varepsilon(t)$ is well-defined for sufficiently small ε and converges to $f(t)$ as ε tends to zero.

Suppose now that f is n-monotone in (a,b) for $n\geq 2$. We notice that f is continuous by Theorem 2.1. It follows from the last integral representation that f_ϵ is n-monotone in the interval $(a+\varepsilon,b-\varepsilon)$ for $0<\varepsilon<(b-a)/2$. We thus realize that, for any compact interval $[a_0,b_0]\subset(a,b)$, the restriction of f to $[a_0,b_0]$ is the uniform limit of a sequence of n-monotone functions that are infinitely many times differentiable in a neighborhood of $[a_0,b_0]$.

A similar statement is obtained for n-convex functions f defined in (a,b) for $n\geq 2$. Notice that in this case the continuity of f is immediate.

3 Main assumptions

We shall not attempt to give a fully self-contained proof of Löwner's theorem in this short paper but will essentially rely on Bendat and Sherman's theorem [1] as the starting point.

The early expositions in the literature are rather brief and not entirely complete. The most succinct example is the claim in Löwner's original paper [7] that n-monotonicity is a local property. This is stated in a corollary without proof and is still without proof a main tool in the paper of Dobsch [2] that studies n-monotonicy in terms of differential characterizations. Donoghue [3] is attempting to give a very long and complicated proof of the statement over more than 30 pages. It is probably fair to state that even today there is no satisfactory proof of the local property assertion published in the literature. Since most applications of the theory are directed towards operator monotone functions this deficit is of no concern in the present paper.

For a continuously differential function $f\colon I\to\mathbf{R}$ the (first) divided difference $[t,s]_f$ is defined by

$$[t,s]_f=\begin{cases}\dfrac{f(t)-f(s)}{t-s} & t\neq s\\ f'(t) & t=s,\end{cases}$$

and the Löwner matrix $L(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is defined by

$$L(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \Big([\lambda_i, \lambda_j]_f\Big)_{i,j=1}^{n}$$

for $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in I$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f \in C^2(I)$ where I is an open interval, and take a natural number $n \geq 2$. Then f is n-monotone if and only if the Löwner matrix $L(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is positive semi-definite for all sequences $t_1, \dots, t_n \in I$.*

This result together with the analysis of Kraus [6] leads to

Theorem 3.2 (Bendat and Sherman)**.** *Let f be a real function in $C^3(I)$, where I is an open interval. Then f is operator convex if and only if the function*

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{f(t) - f(t_0)}{t - t_0} & t \neq t_0 \\ f'(t_0) & t = t_0 \end{cases}$$

is operator monotone for each $t_0 \in I$.

Both Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 hold under the weaker assumption of $f \in C^1(I)$. However, if we impose stronger differentiability conditions as stated then the proofs become much easier, and the results may be derived without the detailed matrix analysis found in Löwner's paper. The results then follow readily by calculating Fréchet differentials of the matrix function $x \to f(x)$.

In a forthcoming monograph we shall give detailed and elementary proofs of these results in the context of harmonic analysis of matrix functions defined by the functional calculus.

3.1 Reduction of the main assumption

Theorem 3.3 (Bendat and Sherman)**.** *Let f be an operator convex function defined in the positive half-axis. Then f is differentiable, and the function*

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{f(t) - f(t_0)}{t - t_0} & t \neq t_0 \\ f'(t_0) & t = t_0 \end{cases}$$

is operator monotone for each $t_0 > 0$.

Proof. Suppose f is operator convex, thus in particularly continuous. Using regularization (with $\varepsilon < t_0$) we obtain f as the point-wise limit, for $\varepsilon \to 0$, of a sequence $(f_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ of infinitely differentiable operator convex functions. The functions

$$g_\varepsilon(t) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{f_\varepsilon(t) - f_\varepsilon(t_0)}{t - t_0} & t \neq t_0 \\ \\ f'_\varepsilon(t_0) & t = t_0 \end{cases}$$

are operator monotone in (ϵ, ∞) by Theorem 3.2. In addition, $g_\varepsilon(t) \to g(t)$ for $t \neq t_0$. Since f is convex the set of derivatives $\{f'_\varepsilon(t_0)\}$ is bounded for $0 < \varepsilon < t_0$. A subsequence of $(g_\varepsilon)_{\epsilon>0}$ therefore converges towards an operator monotone function which is continuous according to Theorem 2.1. But then f is differentiable in t_0 and we conclude that $f'(t_0) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} f'_\varepsilon(t_0)$. **QED**

In the above proof we also learn that $f'_\varepsilon(t) \to f'(t)$ for every $t \in (0, \infty)$, where f_ε is the regularization of f. In connection with Corollary 2.4 we obtain

Corollary 3.4. *An operator monotone or operator convex function f defined in the positive half-line is automatically differentiable, and $f'_\varepsilon(t) \to f'(t)$ for every $t \in (0, \infty)$, where f_ϵ is the regularization of f.*

Corollary 3.5. *Let f be an operator monotone function defined in the open half-axis. If the derivative $f'(t) = 0$ in any point $t > 0$, then f is a constant function.*

Proof. Since f is differentiable, and thus $t \to [t, s]_f$ is continuous for each $s > 0$, the Löwner matrix

$$L(t, s) = \begin{pmatrix} f'(t) & [t, s]_f \\ [s, t]_f & f'(s) \end{pmatrix} \qquad t \neq s$$

may be obtained (using regularization) as a point-wise limit of positive semi-definite matrices. In particular, $L(t, s) \geq 0$ and

$$f'(t)f'(s) \geq \left(\frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s}\right)^2.$$

If $f'(t) = 0$, then necessarily $f(s) = f(t)$ for every $s > 0$. **QED**

4 The fast track to Löwner's theorem

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $f\colon \mathbf{R}_+ \to \mathbf{R}_+$ *be an operator monotone function. Then the function* $t \to t^{-1} f(t)$ *is operator monotone decreasing.*

Proof. For $\varepsilon > 0$ the function $f_\varepsilon(t) = f(t+\varepsilon)$ is defined in the open set $(-\varepsilon, \infty)$ containing zero. Since f and hence f_ε are operator monotone and therefore operator concave by Corollary 2.2 we may use Theorem 3.3 (Bendat and Sherman) to obtain that the function

$$t \to \frac{f_\varepsilon(t) - f_\varepsilon(0)}{t - 0} = \frac{f(t+\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon)}{t}$$

is operator monotone decreasing. By using $f(\varepsilon) > 0$ and the identity

$$\frac{f(t+\varepsilon)}{t} = \frac{f(t+\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon)}{t} + \frac{f(\varepsilon)}{t}$$

we realize that the function $t \to t^{-1} f(t+\varepsilon)$ is operator monotone decreasing when restricted to the positive half-line. The result now follows by letting ε tend to zero. ☐ **QED**

Corollary 4.2. *Let* $f\colon \mathbf{R}_+ \to \mathbf{R}_+$ *be an operator monotone function. Then the functions*

$$f^\sharp(t) = t f(t)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad f^*(t) = t f(t^{-1})$$

are operator monotone in the positive half-axis.

Proof. Since $t \to f^\sharp(t)^{-1} = t^{-1} f(t)$ is operator monotone decreasing by the above lemma it follows that $f^\sharp$ is operator monotone (increasing). The second assertion follows from the same argument by first replacing f with the operator monotone function $t \to f(t^{-1})^{-1}$. **QED**

The corollary states that the mappings $f \to f^\sharp$ and $f \to f^*$ are involutions of the set of positive operator monotone functions defined in the positive half axis.

Lemma 4.3. *We have the bound* $f(t) \le t + 1$ *for any positive operator monotone function* f *defined in the positive half-axis with* $f(1) = 1$.

Proof. Since f is increasing we obviously have

$$f(t) \le f(1) = 1 \le t + 1 \qquad \text{for } 0 < t \le 1.$$

We also notice that f is concave by Theorem 2.1. It follows, for $t > 1$, that $f(t)$ is bounded by the continuation of the chord between $(0, \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} f(\varepsilon))$ and $(1, f(1)) = (1, 1)$. But the continuation of this chord is bounded by $t+1$. **QED**

Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote the set of positive operator monotone functions defined in the positive half-line and consider the convex set

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = \{ f \in \mathcal{P} \mid f(1) = 1 \}.$$

We equip $\mathcal{P}_0$ with the topology of point-wise convergence and realize, by the preceding lemma, that $\mathcal{P}_0$ is compact in this topology.

Theorem 4.4. *Let* $f \colon \mathbf{R}_+ \to \mathbf{R}$ *be a non-constant operator monotone function. There exists a positive operator monotone function* $\mathrm{T}f$, *defined in the positive half-axis with* $(\mathrm{T}f)(1) = 1$, *such that*

$$f(t) = f(1) + f'(1) \frac{t-1}{t} (\mathrm{T}f)(t) \qquad t > 0.$$

Furthermore,

$$(\mathrm{T}f)(t) = \frac{t}{f'(1)} \cdot \begin{cases} \dfrac{f(t) - 1}{t - 1} & t \neq 1 \\ f'(1) & t = 1. \end{cases}$$

Notice that the derivative $f' > 0$ if f is a non-constant function, by Corollary 3.5.

Proof. The function

$$h_1(t) = \frac{1}{f'(1)} \cdot \frac{f(t) - f(1)}{t - 1}$$

is positive since f is strictly increasing, and $h_1(1) = 1$. Since f is operator monotone and thus operator concave the function h_1 is operator monotone decreasing by Theorem 3.3. By composing with the operator monotone decreasing function $t \to t^{-1}$ we obtain that

$$h_2(t) = h_1(t^{-1}) = \frac{1}{f'(1)} \cdot \frac{f(t^{-1}) - f(1)}{t^{-1} - 1}$$

is positive and operator monotone with $h_2(1) = 1$. By applying the involution $h_2 \to h_2^*$ we finally obtain that the function

$$(\mathrm{T}f)(t) = h_2^*(t) = t h_2(t^{-1}) = \frac{t}{f'(1)} \cdot \frac{f(t) - f(1)}{t - 1}$$

is operator monotone by Corollary 4.2. It is also positive and $(\mathrm{T}f)(1) = 1$. The assertion now follows by solving the equation for f. **QED**

Lemma 4.5. *The involution $f \to f^*$ maps $\mathcal{P}_0$ into itself, and the operation $f \to \mathrm{T}f$ maps the non-constant functions in $\mathcal{P}_0$ into $\mathcal{P}_0$.*

Proof. Follows immediately from Corollary 4.2 and Theorem 4.4. **QED**

Lemma 4.6. *The sum of the derivatives*

$$\frac{d}{dt} f(t)\bigg|_{t=1} + \frac{d}{dt} f^*(t)\bigg|_{t=1} = 1$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{P}_0$.

Proof. The assertion follows from the calculation

$$\frac{f(t) - 1}{t - 1} + \frac{f^*(t^{-1}) - 1}{t^{-1} - 1} = 1$$

by letting t tend to 1. **QED**

Both f and f^* are increasing functions. By Corollary 3.5 we therefore obtain:

Corollary 4.7. *The derivative of f satisfies*

$$0 < f'(1) < 1$$

for any function $f \in \mathcal{P}_0$ different from the constant function $t \to 1$ or the identity function $t \to t$.

Lemma 4.8. *An extreme point f in $\mathcal{P}_0$ is necessarily of the form*

$$f(t) = \frac{t}{f'(1) + (1 - f'(1))t} \qquad t > 0.$$

Proof. Take first a function $f \in \mathcal{P}_0$ which is neither the constant function $t \to 1$ nor the identity function $t \to t$, then $\lambda = f'(1) \in (0,1)$. An elementary calculation shows that

$$\lambda\, \mathrm{T}f + (1-\lambda)(\mathrm{T}f^*)^* = f. \tag{2}$$

Indeed,

$$\lambda(\mathrm{T}f)(t) = t\,\frac{f(t)-1}{t-1} \qquad t \neq 1$$

and

$$(1-\lambda)(\mathrm{T}f^*)^*(t) = (1-\lambda)t(\mathrm{T}f^*)(t^{-1}) = \frac{f^*(t^{-1})-1}{t^{-1}-1} = \frac{f(t)-t}{1-t}$$

from which the assertion follows. Consequently, if f is an extreme point in $\mathcal{P}_0$ then $\mathrm{T}f = f$ or

$$\frac{t}{\lambda} \cdot \frac{f(t)-1}{t-1} = f(t) \qquad t > 0$$

from which it follows that

$$f(t) = \frac{t}{\lambda + (1-\lambda)t} \qquad t > 0.$$

Finally, the two functions we left out may also be written in this way. Indeed, the constant function $t \to 1$ appears in the formula by setting $\lambda = 0$ while the identity function $t \to t$ appears by setting $\lambda = 1$. **QED**

Theorem 4.9. *Let f be a positive operator monotone function defined in the positive half-line with $f(1) = 1$. There is a probability measure μ on the closed interval $[0,1]$ such that*

$$f(t) = \int_0^1 \frac{t}{\lambda + (1-\lambda)t}\, d\mu(\lambda) \qquad t > 0.$$

Conversely, any function f given on this form is operator monotone with $f(1) = 1$.

Proof. We noticed that $\mathcal{P}_0$ is convex and compact in the topology of pointwise convergence of functions. Therefore, by Krein-Milman's theorem, it is generated by its extreme points $Ext(\mathcal{P}_0)$ in the sense that $\mathcal{P}_0$ is the closure

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = \overline{conv}(Ext(\mathcal{P}_0))$$

of the convex hull of $Ext(\mathcal{P}_0)$. By Lemma 4.8 the convex hull of $Ext(\mathcal{P}_0)$ consists of functions of the form

$$f(t) = \int_0^1 \frac{t}{\lambda + (1-\lambda)t}\, d\mu(\lambda) \qquad t > 0, \tag{3}$$

where μ is a discrete probability measure on $[0,1]$. A function f in $\mathcal{P}_0$ is therefore the limit of a net of functions $(f_j)_{j\in J}$ written on the form (3) in terms of discrete probability measures $(\mu_j)_{j\in J}$. Since the set of probability measures on $[0,1]$ is compact in the weak topology there exists an accumulation measure μ such that f is expressed as in the statement of the theorem. **QED**

Notice that a possible atom in zero of the measure μ in the above theorem contributes with the constant term $\mu\{0\}$ in the integral. A possible atom in 1 contributes with the term $\mu\{1\}t$.

Corollary 4.10. *Let f be a positive operator monotone function defined in the positive half-line with $f(1) = 1$. There is a probability measure μ on the closed extended half-line $[0,\infty]$ such that*

$$f(t) = \int_0^\infty \frac{t(1+\lambda)}{t+\lambda}\, d\mu(\lambda) \qquad t > 0.$$

Conversely, any function f given on this form is operator monotone with $f(1) = 1$.

Proof. The assertion follows from the previous theorem by applying the transformation

$$\lambda \to \alpha = \lambda(1-\lambda)^{-1}$$

which maps the closed interval $[0,1]$ onto the closed half-line, and by noticing the identity

$$\frac{t}{\lambda + (1-\lambda)t} = \frac{t(1-\lambda)^{-1}}{\lambda(1-\lambda)^{-1} + t} = \frac{t(1+\alpha)}{t+\alpha}$$

which is valid also in the end points of the two intervals. **QED**

We are finally able to give an integral formula for the operator monotone function defined in the positive half-line. There are various ways of doing so, but the following formula establishes the connection between operator monotone functions and the theory of Pick function in complex analysis.

Theorem 4.11. *Let $f\colon \mathbf{R}_+ \to \mathbf{R}$ be an operator monotone function. There exists a positive measure ν on the closed positive half-line $[0,\infty)$ with $\int (1+\lambda^2)^{-1}\, d\nu(\lambda) < \infty$ such that*

$$f(t) = \alpha t + \beta + \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2} - \frac{1}{t+\lambda} \right) d\nu(\lambda) \qquad t > 0,$$

where $\alpha \ge 0$ and $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$.

Proof. We first use Theorem 4.4 to write f on the form

$$f(t) = f(1) + f'(1)\frac{t-1}{t}(\mathrm{T}f)(t) \qquad t > 0,$$

where $\mathrm{T}f$ is a positive and normalized operator monotone function. We can then apply Corollary 4.10 to obtain a probability measure μ on the closed extended half-line $[0,\infty]$ such that

$$f(t) = f(1) + f'(1)\frac{t-1}{t}\int_0^\infty \frac{t(1+\lambda)}{t+\lambda}\, d\mu(\lambda) \qquad t > 0.$$

We explicitly remove a possible atom in ∞ to obtain

$$f(t) = f(1) + f'(1)\mu(\{\infty\})(t-1) + f'(1)\int_0^\infty \frac{(t-1)(1+\lambda)}{t+\lambda}\, d\tilde{\mu}(\lambda),$$

where $\tilde{\mu}$ is a positive finite measure on the closed half-line $[0,\infty)$. We then make use of the identity

$$\frac{(t-1)(1+\lambda)}{t+\lambda} = (1+\lambda)^2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2} - \frac{1}{t+\lambda} \right) + \frac{1-\lambda^2}{1+\lambda^2}$$

to obtain

$$f(t) = \alpha t + \beta + f'(1)\int_0^\infty (1+\lambda)^2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2} - \frac{1}{t+\lambda} \right) d\tilde{\mu}(\lambda),$$

where $\alpha = f'(1)\mu(\{\infty\}) \ge 0$ and

$$\beta = f(1) - \mu(\{\infty\})f'(1) + f'(1)\int_0^\infty \frac{1-\lambda^2}{1+\lambda^2}\, d\tilde{\mu}(\lambda)$$

is finite since the integrand is bounded between -1 and 1. The assertion now follows by setting $d\nu(\lambda) = f'(1)(1+\lambda)^2\, d\tilde{\mu}(\lambda)$ and noticing that

$$1 \le (1+\lambda)^2/(1+\lambda^2) \le 2$$

for $0 \le \lambda < \infty$. **QED**

We learn from the integral expression in the previous theorem that an operator monotone function f defined in the positive half-axis can be continued to an analytic function defined in $\mathbf{C}\backslash(-\infty, 0]$. Since the imaginary part

$$\Im\left(-\frac{1}{z+\lambda}\right) = \frac{\Im z}{|z+\lambda|^2}$$

we also learn that the analytic continuation of f to the complex upper half-plane has non-negative imaginary part. In fact, the imaginary part, $\Im f(z)$, of the continuation is positive if just f is not a constant function.

Theorem 4.12. *Let* $f\colon \mathbf{R}_+ \to \mathbf{R}$ *be an operator monotone function. Then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\infty \Im f(-t+i\varepsilon) g(t)\,dt = \int_0^\infty g(\lambda)\,d\nu(\lambda)$$

for every infinitely differentiable function g *with compact support in* $[0,\infty)$.

Proof. It readily follows from the above remarks that

$$\begin{aligned} I_\varepsilon &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\infty \Im f(-t+i\varepsilon) g(t)\,dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\infty \left(\varepsilon\alpha + \int_0^\infty \frac{\varepsilon}{(\lambda-t)^2+\varepsilon^2}\,d\nu(\lambda)\right) g(t)\,dt. \end{aligned}$$

By appealing to Fubini's theorem this can be written

$$I_\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon\alpha}{\pi}\int_0^\infty g(t)\,dt + \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty \frac{\varepsilon}{(\lambda-t)^2+\varepsilon^2} g(t)\,dt\,d\nu(\lambda).$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{\varepsilon}{(\lambda-t)^2+\varepsilon^2}\,dt = 1,$$

we realize that

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\frac{\varepsilon}{(\lambda-t)^2+\varepsilon^2}$$

converges in the sense of tempered distributions towards the Dirac delta function in λ as ε tends to zero. Therefore, by appealing to Lebesgue's convergence theorem we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{\varepsilon}{(\lambda-t)^2+\varepsilon^2} g(t)\,dt = g(\lambda),$$

and the assertion follows by noticing that the support of g is in $[0,\infty)$. **QED**

The preceding theorem immediately implies that the measure ν in Theorem 4.11 is uniquely defined since, by Theorem 4.12, it is determined by the behavior close to the negative half-axis of the imaginary part of the analytic continuation of f. It readily follows that also the other measures in the various integral representations of operator monotone functions are uniquely defined.

Theorem 4.13 (Löwner)**.** *Let $f : I \to \mathbf{R}$ be a function defined in an open interval which is either finite $I = (a, b)$ or infinite of the form (a, ∞). Then f is operator monotone if and only if it allows an analytic continuation to the upper half-plane with non-negative imaginary part.*

Proof. The case where I is the positive half-line follows from the preceding remarks, and the case $I = (a, \infty)$ then follows by a simple translation. The remaining cases may be similarly reduced to the case $I = (0, 1)$. The function,

$$h(t) = \frac{t}{t+1} \qquad t > 0,$$

is a bijection between $\mathbf{R}_+$ and the interval $(0, 1)$. It is operator monotone, and the inverse function,

$$h^{-1}(t) = \frac{t}{1-t} = \frac{1}{t^{-1} - 1} \qquad 0 < t < 1,$$

is also operator monotone. Both functions have analytic continuations which map the complex upper half-plane into itself. Composition with h therefore establishes a bijection between the sets of operator monotone functions defined in each interval, and between the set of functions, defined in each interval, which allow an analytic continuation into the complex upper half-plane with non-negative imaginary part. **QED**

References

[1] J. Bendat and S. Sherman. Monotone and convex operator functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 79:58–71, 1955.

[2] O. Dobsch. Matrixfunktionen beschränkter schwankung. *Math. Z.*, 43:353–388, 1937.

[3] W. Donoghue. *Monotone matrix functions and analytic continuation.* Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1974.

[4] F. Hansen. Operator monotone functions of several variables. *Math. Ineq. Appl.*, 6:1–17, 2003.

[5] F. Hansen and G.K. Pedersen. Jensen's inequality for operators and Löwner's theorem. *Math. Ann.*, 258:229–241, 1982.

[6] F. Kraus. Über konvekse Matrixfunktionen. *Math. Z.*, 41:18–42, 1936.

[7] K. Löwner. Über monotone Matrixfunktionen. *Math. Z.*, 38:177–216, 1934.

[8] R. Mathias. Concavity of monotone matrix functions of finite order. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 27:129–138, 1991.

Frank Hansen: Institute for International Education, Tohoku University, Japan.
Email: frank.hansen@m.tohoku.ac.jp.